

Wayne Stenehjem ATTORNEY GENERAL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

STATE CAPITOL 600 E BOULEVARD AVE DEPT 125 BISMARCK, ND 58505-0040 (701) 328-2210 FAX (701) 328-2226 www.ag.nd.gov

NATURAL RESOURCES 500 NORTH 9TH STREET BISMARCK, ND 58501-4509 (701) 328-3640 FAX (701) 328-4300

May 3, 2016

Marty Haroldson 918 E. Divide Ave., 4th Floor Bismarck, ND 58501-1947

Re: Livestock Waste System Approval Hearing

Dear Mr. Haroldson:

Please find enclosed a copy of the transcript of the Livestock Waste System Approval Hearing and a copy of the Certificate of Transcript. There were a few areas of unclear or unintelligible speech on the recording of the hearing. These are indicated as follows:

Area of unclear speech: ((text))

Area of unintelligible speech: (())

Following is a list of the line numbers and recorder time of each of the areas indicated above:

•	Line 174 Line 183 Line 406 Line 457 Line 537	50:06 left on recording on mp3 160317002 49:48 left on recording on mp3 160317002 24:20 left on recording on mp3 160317002 19:13 left on recording on mp3 160317002 12:46 left on recording on mp3 160317002
•	Line 700 Line 868 Line 870 Line 887 Line 923 Line 938 Line 1134 Line 1244 Line 1309 Line 1359 Line 1368 Line 1395 Lines 1396-1397 Lines 1485 Line 1480 Line 1483	1:23:53 left on recording on mp3 160317003 1:09 left on recording on mp3 160317003 1:09:27 left on recording on mp3 160317003 1:07:59 left on recording on mp3 160317003 1:05:03 left on recording on mp3 160317003 1:03:56 left on recording on mp3 160317003 45:59 left on recording on mp3 160317003 36:18 left on recording on mp3 160317003 29:24 left on recording on mp3 160317003 24:27 left on recording on mp3 160317003 23:27 left on recording on mp3 160317003 20:03 left on recording on mp3 160317003 19:56 left on recording on mp3 160317003 16:17 left on recording on mp3 160317003 12:30 left on recording on mp3 160317003 12:18 left on recording on mp3 160317003

Haroldson Letter Page 2 May 3, 2016

Line 1527

6:20 left on recording on mp3 160317__003 1:24 left on recording on mp3 160317__003

• Line 1573

If you discover any areas within the document you feel need to be changed, please let me know. Feel free to contact me at 328-3092 or lisajohnson@nd.gov if you have any questions or concerns. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lisa A. Johnson

Enclosures

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA)
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH) ss)

I, Lisa A. Johnson, an employee of the Office of Attorney General,

DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I transcribed the proceedings of the hearing to obtain oral/written comment on the proposed application for approval of livestock waste system, held March 17, 2016, at the Buffalo Community Center, Buffalo, North Dakota, and that attached hereto are the 60 pages so transcribed.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that, to the best of my knowledge, the attached 60 pages contain an accurate transcript of the recording of this March 17, 2016, hearing.

Dated at Bismarck, North Dakota, this 3 day of May, 2016.

isa A. Johnson, Transcriptionist

THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION OF THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT APPLY TO THE REPRODUCTION OF THE SAME BY ANY MEANS, UNLESS UNDER THE DIRECT CONTROL AND/OR DIRECTION OF THE OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL.

HEARING TO OBTAIN ORAL/WRITTEN COMMENT ON THE PROPOSED APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF LIVESTOCK WASTE SYSTEM

Please note that the hearing is being recorded for the purpose of creating a record. Let the record show that it is 3:11 on the 17th day of March, 2016. This is the time and place as scheduled, March 17, 2016, at 3 p.m., Buffalo Community Center in Buffalo, North Dakota. For the aforementioned hearing, on the proposed approval to operate for a concentrated animal feeding operation. The North Dakota Department of Health received an application for the Rolling Green Family Farms RE, LLP. The application indicates the facility will have gestation breeding barns that will house 5,312 sows with an average weight of 400 pounds, a farrowing barn that will house 1,344 sows with an average weight of 400 pounds, and the isolation barn will house 1,600 pigs at an average weight of 150 pounds, and 800 pigs at an average weight of 45 pounds. Manure will be stored in deep pits under the gestation barn and the isolation barn. Manure will be land applied at agronomic rates at least annually.

After the department review of the design submitted with the request for permanent application, a Notice of Public Comment Period on the Approval of Livestock Waste System was issued and posted on the Department's webpage as well as printed in the Fargo Forum, Fargo, N.D., on December 28, 2015. An additional Notice of Continuation of Public Comment Period and Public Hearing on the Application for Approval of a Livestock Waste System was issued and posted on the Department's webpage, as well as printed in the Fargo Forum, Fargo, N.D., on February 1, 2016. The notices were also posted at the Buffalo Post Office and Cass County Auditor's Office. Both public notices identify the purpose of the public notice, tentative determinations made by the department, where to obtain additional information regarding the proposed permit, where written comments could be directed. The second public notice also included the purpose and location of the scheduled public meeting.

It is important to note that all comments received by the close of the comment period on March 19, 2016, whether written or recorded during the scheduled public

hearing, will be considered prior to making a final determination on the proposed permit. Upon completion of the Environmental Health Section Review of all written and oral comments, a recommended determination will be provided to the Environmental Health Section Chief for a final determination. We estimate the process may take up to 30 days, but as long as 90 days, to complete from the close of the comment period/hearing. The time to complete a review of the comments may take longer or be shorter, depending upon the number and complexity of the comments.

The following is the procedure we will follow in this hearing today. I will shortly open the hearing for testimony, at which time anyone interested in presenting testimony on the proposed permit will be allowed to speak. Anyone presenting testimony should state their name, address, and the organization they represent, if any. Also, everyone presenting testimony and everyone in attendance is requested to sign the attendance sheet for the record, located at this time at the front of the room. Please indicate on the attendance sheet whether you are giving testimony.

Please remember that this is not a legislative hearing, a judicative hearing, or a court hearing. The purpose of this hearing is to get input, such as additional data or viewpoints from interested parties, especially from those who have not had or will not have an opportunity to submit written testimony. Both written and oral testimony will be considered equally; it is not necessary to repeat comments submitted in writing.

I would like to emphasize that this hearing is not a question and answer session. However, if there is clarification needed in regard to the proposed permit, we will be listening to your testimony and will be happy to try and provide clarification after the public testimony portion of the hearing is completed. Also, please remember that the proposed permit only relates to health and environmental impacts associated with permitting an animal feeding operation. It does not relate to social and economic impacts, or compatible land use. Therefore, we ask that you limit your comments to those concerns relating to the proposed permit to ensure that all interested parties have

an opportunity to provide comment for the record. Please address your comments to the hearing officer and speak clearly into the microphone located at the podium on the side of the room.

The purpose of this hearing is to get additional viewpoints. To allow the person testifying to be heard clearly, please refrain from cheering, booing, applauding, or talking while we are taking testimony. Based on the number of people who have indicated on the sign-in sheet that wish to testify, I will limit each person to four minutes of testimony until everyone who wishes has had an opportunity to speak. At that time, you may add additional testimony if you wish. Are there any questions concerning the hearing procedure to be followed today?

Seeing that there are no questions, the hearing is now open for testimony. From the sign-in sheet we have randomly selected someone to begin, and uh, I will call by name as we go through the list and please um, the person testifying will go up to the podium and I will also let you know who will be next so they can prepare as well. So, we have selected to begin with, uh, Nathan Pesta. As Nathan makes his way to the podium, I will remind you too if you do not wish to testify at this time, you can decline um, to testify and then uh, you will be given an opportunity if you wish to add something later on in the process.

Nathan Pesta: Hi, my name is Nathan Pesta, I'm the design engineer for the project. Uh, between me and my business partner, uh, Dan DeHaan, we uh, we put quite a few hours into this project, uh, making sure we were meeting all the Department of Health requirements, and also we designed everything to meet the industry standards. Um, if you look at the application at all, my name is signed over on a lot of the pages. Um, this is my career and my business that I put my name on this and it's good as gold as far as meeting the uh, meeting the requirements that we needed to meet. Uh, my business is DeHaan, Grabs & Associates. We are an agricultural engineering firm. Um, we're based out of Mandan, North Dakota. Uh, where our main

business is in livestock facility design. Uh, with this farm, uh, we uh, sorry about that I'm a little nervous here. Um, we put a lot of time and effort into it to make sure that we are meeting the requirements that we needed to meet and are environmentally sound. Uh, our business started in 1999 and since the inception, with our livestock clients, we have promoted environmental and animal stewardship, uh, livestock is our passion. Um, bas.. with this project, designing the livestock, the concrete structures, we used the Midwestern Plan Service, uh which follows building codes from the American Concrete Institute. So with that I close my comments.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Um, for those that testifying, uh, Marty will be keeping time. He will, uh, wave his hands at you when you have one minute left. Uh, you can conclude your remarks for the first go-round, everybody will be allowed however much time they need to testify once everybody's had that opportunity. Next, we have, uh, Randy Coon up with Lee Fraase on deck.

- Pandy Coon: I'd like to defer and so he can go on through.
- Karl Rockeman: O.k., thank you. Uh, Lee Fraase you're up.
- Lee Fraase: I'll defer my time too.

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

- 97 Karl Rockeman: And Bill Marcks is on deck.
- 98 Bill Marcks: I'll defer mine 'til later.
- 99 Karl Rockeman: 'k. Uh, Lee Fischer is up.
- Lee Fischer: I'll def..defer mine 'til later.
- Karl Rockeman: 'k. Um, next we have Vicki Wendt.
- 102 Vicki Wendt: I defer also until later.
- Karl Rockeman: 'k, and Tim Frueh?
- 104 Tim Frueh: I defer 'til later.
- 105 Karl Rockeman: Alright. I apologize if I um, butchered your names, um, Carolyn
 106 Dostert, um, you're up.

Carolyn Dostert: I'm Carolyn Dostert, I live in Buffalo, North Dakota. Uh, I'm part of the Concerned Citizens of Buffalo ND, and I will also be part of the other group, but this is something that's personal. Um, the Concerned Citizens of Buffalo ND have done much research about CAFOs and how they affect the neighbors and communities surrounding them. We've heard the statements from people that maybe this is o.k. as long as it isn't in our backyard. We've heard that the ag special interest groups want this CAFO to come into **our** community, not necessarily theirs. We're concerned about how much weight these special interest groups will have regarding the location of this CAFO. We're asking the North Dakota Department of Health to consider **our** health and **our** well-being, our right as tax-paying citizens of this state to live where we, our children, and our grandchildren will have healthy air to breathe and water to drink.

We believe in farming and livestock. We want to protect our local farm families' health and their right to continue to have their family farming businesses grow without the interference of out-of-state entities. As we understand it, the North Dakota Department of Health exists to, has a purpose to, and has a mission statement to protect the health and environment of **all citizens** of North Dakota. Again, protecting **our** health, and the environment we live in is your job. The Concerned Citizens of Buffalo are asking that the testimonies given to you today be reviewed and considered and that the North Dakota Department of Health send a clear message to everyone that the location of **this** CAFO, and any future CAFOs in the State of North Dakota be farther away from cities and family farms.

We feel the proposed location of Rolling Green Family Farms planned CAFO is wrong. The proposed building site and the land where the manure will be spread are too close to the family homes in our community and their locations will affect the health and well-being of all the people who live in our community. We have heard that we are wasting our time, as this planned CAFO is a done deal, and that the North Dakota Department of Health has already made the decision. We have also heard that the

North Dakota Department of Health will do what they have been told to do by the powers that be in Bismarck.

These statements are terribly upsetting to us. We trust the people we send to our capital and the people representing us, the citizens of North Dakota, will work for all the people, not just the ones that are members of a special interest group that has lobbyists in our capital. We hope that you will not approve this illegal permit just because it has been submitted, that you will review all testimony and do **your** job to protect the citizens of our community and our state. I am asking you--will you, the North Dakota Department of Health, do your job according to your own mission statement, to protect the health and environment of the tax-paying, voting citizens of our community and every citizen in the State of North Dakota, or will you protect the business interests of special interest groups and out-of-state corporations.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Next up, we have William Grieve, and on deck, Liane Stout.

William Grieve: I give the stand up.

Karl Rockeman: O.k. We will pass over that. Liane Stout, and then....

Liane Stout: I would like to defer 'til later.

Karl Rockeman: O.k. Um, then we have Eejay Smith up and uh, Martin Nichols on deck.

Eejay Smith: Eejay Smith, I live at 2345 142nd Avenue Southeast, approximately 2 ½ miles north of this proposed facility. Um, I grew up on a farm. I'm pro-farming, I understand that there's a farm. I should expect living in the country to have farm types of things happening, uh, near me, but these are...this is an extraordinary operation, it should have extraordinary control...er...of...um, extraordinary uh, scrutiny. It should be um, um, it, it is very questionable to me whether there is anything that can be said here that will uh, um cause this to...to not happen, I...I understand that, uh, but I think that there's a number of issues that haven't even begun to be addressed. I still feel that the

uh, um if you speak to any farmers around here that have large cement projects, they do not hold up. Our soil is more plastic than it is in many places. The uh, um, the uh, large cement projects in this area have not had a history. So I would say that anybody that has a large municipal swimming pool, for example, they've only lasted well beneath what their expected lifetime was, uh, because the uh, uh, plasticity of our local soil.

I have concerns around the way that the um, animals are going to be uh, composting, uh, as far as vermin and um, groundwater issues that they could create. I don't believe that you could possibly control, uh...uh, upwards of 900 animals in a good manner. I, uh, have not seen any plan, uh, for a uh...uh, emergency plan in case it spills. If there is a spill, I mean I'm told there won't be one, what if there is, there's no plan in place that I have seen. Um, there's a...uh...a number of issues with this and I would like to recommend that this uh...um, application be rejected.

- Karl Rockeman: Up next, Martin Nichols.
- 174 Martin Nichols: (())

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

184

185

186

187

- 175 Karl Rockeman: I'm sorry, can you speak up.
- Martin Nichols: I don't wish to testify.
- 177 Karl Rockeman: O.k.
- Martin Nichols: At least not in this one.
- Karl Rockeman: And I apologize, some of these I'm not clear on whether they indicated yes or no, so...um, that's fine, um Michelle Grilley, with Daniel Leino on deck.
- 181 Is Michelle Grilley here?
- Michelle Gilley: Gilley?
- Karl Rockeman: Gilley, yes, sorry. (()). Then Dan Leino, L-e-i-n-o.
 - Dan Leino: Hello. (clears throat). My name is Dan Leino, uh, my wife and I just moved, uh, to 14390 40th Street Southeast, uh, it is a Wheatland address. We are located approximately 3 ½, almost 4 miles away from where this proposed feed lot will go. Um, my initial concerns and my health concerns being diagnosed with asthma at a

very young age (coughs), um, and growing up in East Grand Forks around a lagoon, um, pretty close to the sugar beet plant, uh, especially being located downwind, my children, which have just been showing signs of asthma, and I do know that when we drive through West Fargo, uh, even on the interstate when I head south at the West Fargo waste stabilization ponds, uh, I don't think there has been one day in the last two years that my kids have not coughed. Uh, the idea of them having to cough every single moment they're at home really, really affects me not only as a father, but also as somebody who has studied the medical field. Um, with these feed lots, especially with the uh, waste that is going to be produced. Uh, the stench that's going to be carried downwind, uh, seven units is extremely high for somebody with a diagnosed medical history for respiratory diseases. Um, along with asthma, when you can't breathe, you wind up, uh, getting really frequent headaches. Uh, you wind up coughing, you wind up not sleeping, you wind up getting sick. And, we moved out to the country to get away from all of that.

Uh, University of lowa, (clears throat), has even shown an increase of people developing asthma even with no, um, diagnosis anywhere in the familial history. Uh, just living within 2 ½ miles of these feed lots, and that's a perfectly healthy individual growing up around the area—all of sudden, within a year and a half they're diagnosed with asthma—how is that happening. If people are, if the board and the Department of Health is actually concerned for our health, they will actually take a look at some serious research that's been done for the development of respiratory diseases, along with, um, the swine flu—it is the most deadly disease ever in the U.S. It killed more teenagers between 1918-1923 than World War I did. And those people and those teenagers, their body reacted to the H1N1 swine flu virus. Now, all of sudden we're going to start talking about having over 9,000 of swine living within the area where mutagenic DNA, and you take a virus, it's going to find a way to replicate and mutate, and all of sudden you are going to get an airborne—it's not by water, it's not by anything, it's by airborne vectors.

The wind will blow it and all of sudden we'll wind up getting sick. And I really hope the North Dakota Department of Health takes a serious look at that. Thank you very much for your time.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Next up, we have Antoinette Babcock, followed by uh, Darrel Lies.

Antoinette Babcock: I will be also speaking with the, uh, group later on, so just a few personal remarks I have to make. Uh, I am going to try to give you a little bit of background of how this thing came to be in Buffalo and how we found out about it. The open records law shows that state officials worked behind the scenes to get Rolling Hills a permit almost a year before the people of Buffalo found out when someone leaked to me that they had overheard that there was going to be a hog farm built just south of Buffalo. I realized that this was pretty serious and I went ahead and verified it and I made four short phone calls, and after speaking with those four people I knew it was true, and a moment later, um, a visitor came to my house who wanted to talk to me and see if we couldn't just sit down and discuss this and not make a big deal of it. Uh, being, uh, part of the city government I realized that was not the way to approach this, that all the citizens needed the opportunity to...to find out about it, to get information and to ask questions. And so I took it upon myself to call Rolling Hill Farms in, um, Minnesota and they graciously accepted my invitation to come here to this hall on the 18th of January, um, where we were met with a full house of, uh, concerned, upset citizens.

Some things we learned that evening: Rolling Green has been invited to our state, this is a quote from them, "They were here to stay, and we're not going to leave, and if we didn't like it, we needed to change the law." Workers at the plant have largely have college degrees and be paid a salary of up to \$50,000 per year. Oftentimes, these workers would become lifetime employees and receive a retirement benefit when they retire. Buffalo could expect hundreds of thousands of dollars in economic gains, and there could be fifteen or sixteen new jobs out of Buffalo. The workers would probably

move to Buffalo and even build homes here. The workers would become part of our community and Rolling Green Farms would benefit the community by making annual donations to various benefit things and other things we have going on in the city. Public records would show that, uh, there were talks at least a year in January, 2015 before I heard about it in 2016, and I would like to know who it was in the state government that initiated these talks and got this ball rolling. We've heard rumors, but I don't know who it was for sure, but I'd like to know. There's something wrong when this is happening.

Who's decided that the quality of life in our community doesn't matter, they can take it away from us without giving us a chance to tell them we don't want to. Who is concerned about the health of our people? The State Health Department promises safe, sparkling water, and clean air to breathe—that's on the front page of their website. What about the stress of this event on many people who struggle, not only with physical illness, but the depression and mental illness. Who cares that our community has been torn apart and will probably never be put back together again the way it was before.

I quote Dr. Terry Dwelle, M.D., with the Health Department, from the front page of the North Dakota webpage: "All of us in the department are committed to safeguarding the health of every North Dakotan. We look forward to continuing our service to you."

I have a question: after all is said and done, in the long range scheme of things, who is going to benefit from this hog farm? And don't tell me that it's going to be the, uh, corn growers. I had a corn grower in our community tell me that his production this year alone would take care of this farm for two entire years. He said, if I were to do that, what are the other corn growers going to get out of it? So, he notes, it's ridiculous. And, um, why was Cass County chosen as a site, and if this farm is allowed to come in, how many more farms will follow? And I ask once again, who is going to benefit.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Uh, Darrel Lies is followed up by Carolyn Dostert.

Darrel Lies: Good afternoon, Darrel Lies representing North Dakota Farm Bureau. North Dakota Farm Bureau is in support of the enhancement and expansion of livestock feeding and farming in North Dakota, whether it's a hundred or ten thousand head. Animal agriculture needs to be a part of the diversification and enhancement of North Dakota's number one industry. Agriculture has been, is, and will be the balance beam of North Dakota's economy if we are willing to support diversification within our ag economy in our ag communities.

The request to locate a pig farm near Buffalo has stimulated many questions and concerns. We understand at times emotions can run strong, and while we respect the opinions of those who are in opposition, which we exemplified by extending an invitation to visit several of them, which we did, we must draw the line when lies and misconceptions are being used to mischaracterize the truth. It seems we may have reached that point. Some of the opponents of this family farm have said that there are no positive economic impacts to be gained and extremists have even stated that these types of farms release chemicals into the air to quote, "deaden the sense of smell of local community residents." Both of these are untrue, in fact I would suggest and more accurately characterize this as boldfaced lies.

We will not stand by and let any segment of agriculture be degraded through these malicious tactics. The fact of the matter is, increased animal agriculture **will** have a positive impact on all of agriculture through the use of abundant feed grains, creation of jobs, directly and indirectly, through needed companion businesses. This will benefit the economy of North Dakota, regardless of the type of livestock--whether it is a feedlot for beef or lamb, a poultry operation, a pig farm such as the one proposed--expansion of livestock will pay dividends for North Dakota. As common sense North Dakotans, we need to ask ourselves, first and foremost question: "Where's our food supply going to come from?" Are we going to depend on foreign food as we have in the past on foreign oil? America is blessed with the ability to provide safe, affordable food supply. We have

learned how to raise grains and livestock efficiently with minimal environmental impact through dedication, innovation of our farmers, together with private and public researchers, have found ways to raise more food on less acres than ever before.

We are confident the Health Department will follow the protocols and rules which have been set forth in the law, and upon verification that those requirements have been met, will be granting the request of Rolling Green Family Farms to operate a pig farm at this location.

At this time, I would like to thank the Health Department for their professionalism, their allowance of the comments, of which, folks, we don't take lightly when we analyze things at North Dakota Farm Bureau. I personally read every comment that was provided to the North Dakota Health Department. Most of them I read twice. We do not take these things lightly. We understand concerns—we don't want to diminish those—we do not want to belittle those folks, we want to respect them. But on the same token, we ask that the respect be given to those that favor such livestock operations in North Dakota. Thank you.

- Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Next up is Carolyn Dostert, if you wish.
- 311 Carolyn Dostert: I prefer later.
 - Karl Rockeman: 'k. And then followed by Brad Nagle, and after him, Craig Jarolimek.
- 314 Brad Nagle: I will defer.

- Karl Rockeman: Following Craig, we will have Kathy Tyler, perhaps?
- 316 Kathy Tyler: I defer.
- Karl Rockeman: O.k. Then Jennifer Fraase.
 - Craig Jarolimek: Good afternoon. Actually, I find it quite, uh....Craig Jarolimek, I am a pork producer from Forest River, North Dakota. Actually, I find it kind of humorous that we're debating Rolling Green on St. Patrick's Day. (laughter from audience). Uh, I'm a, a pork producer from Forest River, North Dakota. Actually, I'm a shareholder in

uh, two of the uh, uh, operations that uh, Mr. Rockeman highlighted earlier. Uh, Viking, which is at Edmore, North Dakota, and uh, Paramount Pork, which is at Bottineau, North Dakota. Uh, my wife and I ventured into that as shareholders. We have a small part uh, in that operation and uh, that was developed about seven years ago, I believe it was. And what I want to say is that we're very proud of our operation, pork operations. Uh, the Health Department does monitor us; they do visit us on an off. Uh, we keep records in place, and uh, to this day, uh, we've operated under the law. And I was involved in another operation that was cited near Cando, and I think that same operation is...has the same record. What I want to say is that um, what I want to say is that all the fears that you folks have, we faced as well, uh, from those communities, and the record shows that a lot of those fears never happened. Uh, we're operating very well; we're good neighbors. We take care of township roads, uh, we contribute to the community, uh, we have jobs in the community, we're using local grain. Uh, the grain for those operations is processed at Rugby. Uh, they expanded their billing, and so everything that you fear, or that you have been told, doesn't come true, and what the application of the permit, uh, does hold true. And it gets very, it costs a lot of money to adhere to that permit, if we don't, we're going to lose our permit and our investment is gone.

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

We're under the gun to perform, and so we have, and it's ah, just, if you could trust or look at those communities that we're in, they had the same fears, but nothing happened. Birds still fly forward, babies are still born. So, I mean it's uh, I know it's tough and it's hard, uh, but trust the fact that our operations adhere to the permits and we do what's right. Thank you.

Karl Rockeman: Next up, uh, Jennifer Fraase followed by Terry Grieve.

Jennifer Fraase: Hi, my name is Jennifer Fraase, I'm a landowner from half a mile away from this proposed sight, and a homeowner approximately a mile and half from the proposed sight. Um, the mission of the department is to protect and enhance

the health and safety of all North Dakotans and the environment in which we live. Study after study shows that these type of operations have detrimental effects on people that live near them and for those who work in them. The United States EPA scientists have verified that large releases of ammonia and hydrogen sulfide from animal manure present a legitimate threat to our health. Some of these health issues include irritations of the nose, throat, eyes, symptoms of vomiting, headaches, nausea, diarrhea, an increase in infant mortality, asthma, and chronic bronchitis—those are just to name a few.

Studies also show that the ammonia and methane gases and fumes cause health concerns. What a CAFO does to the water quality is also very evident from numerous studies that have been done around the country. Cow excrements contain more pathogens than human waste and those pose a threat to our water supply. That includes rivers and streams which have already been polluted in other states by existing CAFOs, to say nothing of what they've done to the groundwater where they are located. This is a very real and scary threat to our water system, one that you as a department should be looking to protect.

Within a two mile radius of this proposed sight, there are fourteen homes. Studies have shown that CAFOs have a large impact on infants, senior citizens, and those with lowered immune systems. Six of those fourteen homes have people living in them that fall into those three categories. This proposed site will also affect my own personal health. I walk by snowshoe, I bike, I can't even imagine what it is going to be like to go outside with that site a mile and a half away. In all reality, I will probably have to discontinue my exercise routine. If I choose to continue, if this site is built, it is a proven fact that when you exercise, you breathe in deeper, you inhale more, and there are studies that show that the pathogens that are in the air will affect our health and that will affect my health, personally.

It's also going to affect my health when I cannot open my windows anymore, and then all summer long I have to have my air-conditioning running, and it doesn't take a rocket scientist to look up and find out the detrimental health effects from living in a home where you cannot have fresh air.

The American Public Health Association has urged federal, state, and local governments, and public health agencies to enact a freeze on the building of all new CAFOs. As a department of health, you can do exactly that. As a health department, you better than anybody should know and understand the health effects to our water and otherwise that these CAFOs have on people, neighborhoods, and communities.

I am asking you to fulfill your duty as our state health department to protect my health, and that of my neighbors, and that of my community by denying this industry a health permit. I strongly urge you to deny this permit. Thank you.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Next up, uh, Terry Grieve.

Terry Grieve: I defer until later.

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

393

394

395

396

Karl Rockeman: O.k. Then next we have Debbie Coon.

Debbie Coon: I will defer until later.

Karl Rockeman: Followed by Judith Von Bank.

Judith Von Bank: I will defer also.

Karl Rockeman: Um, and Geneva Marcks. Sorry, is that the name? (From the audience: "Gerald", "Gerry") Gerald. Gerald. Thank you. Gerald Marcks.

Gerald Marcks: I will defer until later.

Karl Rockeman: O.k. I'll know how to pronounce it next time.

From audience: It shouldn't be too hard.

Karl Rockeman: Uh, Paul Kasowski?

Paul Kasowski: I'll pass, thank you.

400 Karl Rockeman: O.k. Um, David Hopkins.

David Hopkins: Good afternoon, my name is David Hopkins, I'm a registered professional soil classifier in the state of North Dakota since the late mid-80s. Um, I've got this little survey of Cass County here in this bag, and one of the things that surprised me in looking over the um, the application for this, this uh, farm is that the soil information presented mentioned the two major map units, Hamerly-Tonka, and the various and sundry Barnes, um, cousins that are found in the ((science bay)), but they were just giving information on building of the chemical properties, nothing on, on seems like cannon exchange capacity. Um, water relationships, depth anoxic situations, I've poked holes all over this northern part of this township for a long time, um, this is a area that's been significantly modified by Agassiz's water's coming up and going down, and so there's a lot of sandy materials out on this landscape. Just as sands were mentioned earlier as a beneficial issue, uh, having to do with the um, seeders lagoon and such. I'm glad to know about the drainage underneath that, the plans, that's important obviously. But, all around the landscape there's sand lenses um, throughout this landscape, so, so as an example for instance, um, I took everything from, uh, I did a web soil survey and some of you all know about that. Some of you have taken agriculture courses, some of you are professional agronomists, and you know that you can use the USDA's web soil survey. But, um, to run, uh, various and sundry interpretations, and so running it on about 7200 acres from I-94 up to within a half a mile of um, of uh, of Buffalo, um, and then east of the Buffalo road and then west of the Lake Agassiz beach lines, 36% of those map units are not, are...are severely limited for manure applications. It's 36% of that 7000, 7200 acres. I just chose a big block of land. Um, I realize there's other pieces of land that have been planned, but, um, they would have their own proportions. So, 36% or, um, or, um, were unsuitable, um, severely limited. 22% of that 7200 acres is...is limited, um, and the problem is that one of the map units that um, Hamerly Y yard wasn't really um, evaluated correctly. So if you look at the soil surveyed for the Y yard, and that map unit makes up 1500 acres of the 7200

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

acres in this little model, um. In this soil survey, you see that this soil scientist recognized redox features at 10 inches underneath the Y yard, so that means the water table is strongly, is affected the nature of what the Y yard is. So when we, you know, manure application is just fine if it's on the right kind of soils, but this is a problem (aside: uh, thanks) um, uh, this is a problem in terms of this particular situation because of the nature of this particular landscape. There's too much, uh, poorly drained soils, uh, in this area, so, I think it's a mistake to consider that there's going to be effective, efficient manure, um, recycling in the landscape. Um, there's definitely going to be lateral movement of...of waters in the subsurface that have higher levels of nutrients that would normally be there, so, I just wanted to make that point. Thank you very much.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Next up is Alicia Wisnewski.

Alicia Wisnewski: My name is Alicia Wisnewski. I am a local veterinarian. I reside on rural property in Ayr, North Dakota. My concern for being here today is because of an issue that is...affect us here because of CAFOs, a public health risk that's not currently being addressed. The CDC's 2013 report on antibiotic resistance reported that there were 2,049,442 illnesses and 23,000 deaths due to antibiotic resistant illnesses. In North Carolina, during 2005, it was estimated that um, food added to antibiotics use was 3 million pounds of antibiotics during that year alone. It was also estimated to be the same amount of antibiotics that was used nationally to treat people. Um, nationwide, antibiotics used nationally for growth promotants is estimated to be at 24.6 million pounds per year. And why is this important to us as a public health risk is that all microbes which are bacteria that are either in or on animals or us when we give antibiotics—it doesn't kill all bacteria, if it killed all bacteria we would all die. But all bacteria that live through the exposure of those antibiotics, live through it, and they have the ability to now survive in light of the antibiotic being used in a patient. Bacteria also communicate to each other, so when bacteria meet other bacteria that have that resistance capability, they can share the knowledge on how to be resistance...resistant.

This poses a threat to all of us. The problem is that right now legislation doesn't dictate that we can't use...or, that we can still, it should be that we can't use antibiotics as ((feed-through)) for growth promotants, so that's where the public health issue comes in—it should be our public health, um, monitoring this.

Um, University of Illinois in 2009 completed an evaluation of antibiotic resistance and residues in water and soil in close proximity to swine production facilities. They found tetracycline, um, resistant bacteria underlying two of these CAFOs. It was also found in the soil where manure is added to these facilities, so I challenge our Public Health Department—this is a real threat to our community. It not only affects us, it affects everybody come in contact with. If we pick up those bacteria, um, that are resistant, we share it with everybody we come in contact with. When I shake your hand, or I pet my dog, we share resistance, and really, with three patients right now at my clinic that are resistant to antibiotics, two of those patients have never been on antibiotics; where did they get it from?

We had a patient just last night; we had to remove that dog's ear canals because it was resistant to everything. That dog, we could not get it cleared—his canals became calcified. We had remove them to remove that threat. That dog that's sitting at home with that family, they sit on the kids' bed, they share those bacteria. They share that resistance.

The American Medical Association and the American Academy of Pediatrics, among other medical and health groups, have issued a stance against CAFOs due to the impact on antibiotic resistance that they are posing to our communities and the risk that it's posing to our populations. Um, I would say that even though this doesn't directly affect this permit, it is an area that our public health department is missing. We say that we're out to protect our public health of our communities. There's soil testing available. There is well water monitoring available, and it's not currently being done. And if I'm not able to at least impact this CAFO being set up, I would encourage the Public Health

Department to at least recognize it for future CAFOs. Um, in my personal, professional opinion, we should not be having addition of any CAFOs, particularly in our state, because I feel rather near and dear to my family and to my family's health, until this resistance issue and issue of antibiotic resistance and antibiotic residue is taken care of.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Next up, we have Sheila Thompson, followed by Roy Thompson.

Sheila Thompson: I'll defer. Not until later.

Karl Rockeman: Alright. Followed by Craig Wendt.

Craig Wendt: I will defer 'til later.

Karl Rockeman: Next we have Sean Simpson, followed by Barry Kerkaert.

Sean Simpson: Afternoon. My name is Sean Simpson, I'm from, um, 1300 South Highway 75, Pipestone, Minnesota. I am also here on behalf of Rolling Green. Uh, most importantly, I'm here on behalf of the Pipestone system which is charged with, uh, managing this facility. And it manages this facility along with about 50 others throughout the, uh, Midwest in about five states.

I want to make something entirely clear today. I understand the concerns just like I said last time, but our intent is not to be evil, our intent is not to harm the people of the community. Our intent is not to pollute the community—that's simply not the case. Of the best evidence that I can tell you folks that, that that won't happen, is because we operate responsibly. We understand the communities we live in. And the best evidence I can tell you is the people that work for us. We have over 700 employees who work in those barns **every single day**, and the health concerns that I've heard here today don't materialize. They're over those pits every day, they're with those animals every day, they're caring for those animals every day—700 employees there every single day, every minute. They're not sick--Barry Kerkaert, veterinarian, has been in barns all his life—he's healthy. We got a lot of fear factor that all of sudden we put a hog barn up that

there's these contaminations in the air that are going to drop people. That's just simply not the case.

What it really is, is it...people just don't want it in their back yard. So they'll go on the internet and they'll find something to support their view, but that's not reality folks. Reality is, responsible operations won't harm the community. We intend to have our employees in this community. I heard the good mayor kind of laughs and say "Well they said they'd have..." yes, we will have 21 people here, everyday—living and working in the community.

We will source locally. It doesn't make any sense for me to get my fee in Minnesota. Economically, that doesn't make sense. We will source it locally. We will have indirect jobs in this community. We will contribute to the tax base in this community. We're not asking for any benefits; we're just asking to be good neighbors, which is what we intend to be.

Now, I know we'll have some people come up that say "listen, they're in my community and I don't like them", that's true, but they're not sick, they don't like the smell sometimes, and I'm here to tell you that once in a while, if you're close, it's going to smell. If you're three miles away, it won't smell. If you're a mile and a half away, it'll barely smell, but it will smell sometimes. So do feed lots, so do manure pits—it's just a fact of life when you're in the country, but to say that "not in my backyard" like this gentleman just said, you're right, well then where are we going to produce livestock? Where are we going to get protein? We can import it. At some point in time, this has to be reality, and I understand you don't want it in your backyard, but that just means it's got to be in somebody else's backyard. If you're fine with that, that's o.k., but I'm here to tell you, just reiterate again, we're not here intending to hurt anyone. We're not intending to pollute the environment. If something happens, we'll take care of it—I don't expect that it will, but we will take care of it. Thank you.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Next up, Barry Kerkaert, followed by Tom Bodine.

Barry Kerkaert: Good afternoon, uh, my name is Barry Kerkaert and so, uh, I am the face to the name of this permit, uh. Sean did a nice job covering some stuff, I'm not gonna trying to (()) on him here, but I did want to tell you, I'm a veterinarian from Pipestone, Minnesota. I am one of the owners of a company called Pipestone Holdings; I'm the vice president. I am the person who signed off and said we would be responsible with this site if there's problems. It'll be me representing the owners of this site, um. We are very proud to say that we do coordinate 400 independent farmers, small farmers, into what we call the Pipestone System, which is 200,000 sows. Sounds big, but that's divided amongst 400 families plus their kids, to make protein so all you folks get to eat bacon, eggs, and some of you might say "I don't like bacon", but we do need to make our food here, and that's what those independent farmers do.

There's sixty farms, Sean said fifty, it's about sixty that we manage. The 200,000 sows--we're in seven states today. Uh, and why we need a different space for different reasons. Mainly, the location where the owners are and that brings us to why are we here? Why in North Dakota? Not in my backyard. You know, uh, different places we went, there's always one or two or three folks that say "not in my backyard". And I would just tell you, if we can't do this in an ag zone community, where your state laws and rules draw the cylinders that we got to operate outside of, where can we do it, and if we're all going to draw circles around our places, then pretty soon you chuckle, where are we going to get our pork, China. Oh, you might chuckle and say, ah, that's not true, we're all going to put five pigs in our backyard, I'm telling you, that's not sustainable. That's why we do it the way we do it today; it's more sustainable.

So, why did we come to North Dakota? I hear the rhetoric and um, some scandal of why we're here, I don't know. We're here because the state of North Dakota reached out and said, "Pipestone, how're you guys develop an agriculture livestock production pig farm? All our pig farms are gone, they're all gone, right?" You listen to them, it's like ten farms left in the whole dang state. So, we explained that to them. That was the first

meeting. Then after that, they reached out to us and said, "Why don't you ever come to North Dakota?" and we said, "Distance. We don't want to go up there, we can do it closer." So why did we come to North Dakota this time? Because we go interviewed by three farmers, three farmers, I remember the day, and had different reasons to pick the one we did, who I thought were top flight people—still do today, despite what some of the evil things I would say some of you folks have said about them. When we came here, they never said one of those things about you.

And so, we came here because we thought what they had met our needs. They showed us properties, they showed us neighbors' properties that they thought might participate. We identified what we thought was a perfect spot—close to the interstate, that's good for us and roads. Only a half mile on a township road—I've been asked what we're going to do; we want good roads too, we'll help out with the township road. And so, we identified that location because, 1.6 miles to the closest neighbor, he's actually in favor—1.7 to the next neighbor here—he's opposed, I understand. But we went there because we thought was a great site.

Now today it's still not good enough. Wherever I go, there will be a part of these folks that say it's not good enough. What I'm asking is, it's the leaders in the community—the veterinarian over here, I appreciate her comments, but we're all about decreased antibiotic use. That's responsible things, right. I understand what she's talking about. Her perspective's different than ours; our experiences are different. Absolutely, we're going to have high health pigs here, low antibiotic use, and that's why we're here, so that we can have the high health animals, so we can decrease antibiotic use, not participate in the resistance stuff. I'm sure she treats animals too on antibiotics.

So, I'm asking the business leaders—lead with responsibility, don't lead with fear. To Sean's point, all these myths about how the odors are going to kill people, for gosh sakes, I've been with pigs since I was eight years old, I'm going to go to a pig farm on Monday, next to Kathy's farm. It's a beautiful site, Kathy. I know you don't necessarily

agree, but it's a beautiful place to be. I'm gonna go on that farm. I do it four or five days a week. So, what I am here to say—put back the fears fellows, face reality, be objective. We gotta make the pork somehow. You're gonna have more than thirty people on that farm. Some of 'em are here today. **Thirty people** will own that farm—some from North Dakota, not all. Thank you for your time.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Next up, Tom Bodine followed by Tamra Heins.

Tom Bodine: Yes. For the record, my name's Tom Bodine. I work for the Department of Agriculture, um, in Bismarck. Um, I'm here on behalf of Commissioner Doug Goehring who was able, not able to be here today. And I present a statement from the commissioner here today. But I'll just read, uh, uh, a little bit and give some examples of things.

North Dakota Department of Agriculture works to enhance all forms of agriculture. We do not discriminate against small operations versus large operations. Our job is to assist current and new producers in their aspirations to enhance and expand their operations. We work diligently to educate producers of any state and federal regulation during this process to ensure that the appliance, um, is met moving forward. And I can give an example, we do have livestock development assistance that the state has granted us. We work to educate about what we have here in this state to offer. When we go out, we talk about all the things that North Dakota has, especially on the property side. Wide open spaces, all those types of information. And that's one of the reasons, um, I guess companies like Rolling Greens look at North Dakota. Um, also, last week I got a call from a, a school district looking to expand a greenhouse. So we assessed in that process.

We have people working for you at the department, working all entities. We work all the way from organic farmers to help 'em get certified, all the way up to uh, confined feeding operations. The one thing it says is, uh, the advanced technology available to modern agriculture producers, combined with the strictness of environmental

regulations required at both the state and federal level for, uh, required for both at the state and federal level make concentrated feeding operations safe.

Expanding any form of livestock production in the state will add value to our crops and our rural communities. One thing that, you look at Cass County—you guys are number one leader in soybean production seven of the last ten years. You've been second in soybean production per county across the United States two of those years, and you were third one of those years. So basically, you could say Cass County is the number one producing county in the United States when it comes to soybean production. When you look at soybeans across the state, we export 95% of the soybeans we raise. We only utilize 5% here within the state. So, as you look at being able, and soybeans are one of those staples when it comes to food, uh, for livestock. If we can add value here, it's going to benefit all those involved.

When you look at our livestock industry, it provides several billion of economic activity, thousands of jobs, and hundreds and millions in both property tax and income tax. North Dakota ranks sixth in the nation in the value of our crops, but we are 34th in the value of our livestock. The balance between crop production and livestock is exactly what we're experiencing right now. Instead of us utilizing crops we raise through a animal or a processing type of situation, we're exporting that value and someone else is adding value outside of North Dakota. So, with that, we understand the concerns, uh, people have, um, and it's just like I would speak earlier, um. These times, any time a CAFO has gone into an area, there are people concerned, and so with that I'll, I'll shut it off. Thank you.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Tamra?

Tamra Heins: My name is Tamra Heins. I am the executive director of the North Dakota Pork Council. I am happy here to be with you this week. It is National Agriculture Week, and I represent North Dakota pig farmers, both large and small, in the state of North Dakota. We have a nine member board of directors that include members that

have sow facilities that have 5,000 sows in 'em, and we have members that are niche marketers that probably have five sows in market, 20-50 animals in...through direct selling in a year.

The North Dakota Pork Council supports animal agriculture production, and this sow facility. We believe they have met all the requirements of the North Dakota Century Code, and that the health department will continue to monitor them and provide, provide the assistance that they need to adhere to all the laws of the North Dakota statutes.

Several years ago, the National Pork Board and the National Pork Producers Council came together and came up with what we call the We Care initiative. The We Care initiative is seven basic principles that is involved in the Pork Quality Assurance program. Now the Pork Quality Assurance program has over 6,000 farmers, have taken a test and been certified in this assurance. And I can guarantee you that every one of the pipestone systems will also be involved in this PQA and they will be certified as well.

The seven principles are number one, we want to produce safe food. Number two, we want to protect and promote animal well-being. Number three, we want to insure practices to protect public health. Number four, we want to safeguard natural resources and all of their practices. Number five, we want to provide a safe work environment, and number six, we want to contribute to a better quality of life in our communities.

Over the last several years, we've moved these pigs into barns. We raise hogs considerably different than we did five years ago, ten years ago, certainly fifteen to twenty years ago. We've done this and the things that we have done because of this, we've basically eliminated trichinosis in pork, we've reduced our carbon footprint in the last fifty years by 35%, we have reduced our water usage by 41%, and we've reduced land use for feed by 78%. We're raising the best pork ever, and we're producing more of it with less resources.

The North Dakota Pork Council encourages the Department of Health to approve this application for their permit, and we count on them to use their jurisdiction to make sure and maintain that our excellent environmental quality of life is maintained.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Alright, at this time, for some of those who have deferred speaking, we will have an opportunity for them to present their testimony. Um, uh, is there anybody that wishes to present additional testimony?

Unidentified speaker: Well, the Concerned Citizens of, uh, Buffalo would like to set up some tables. Uh, give us like five minutes.

Karl Rockeman: Yeah, we'll take, uh, five minute, uh, recess, here and reconvene.

Karl Rockeman: Alright, we will start again. One note of correction, I did, uh, miss one page here, so we do have a few more people that, to get their first opportunity to testify and then we will go to the group of people that had deferred until later, so. Well starting off, I have Scott Ressler, uh, listed, and um, David Vanverda, Vaneuvra, up after that.

Scott Ressler: Good afternoon and thank your for the opportunity to comment. I'm Scott Ressler, the environmental services director of the North Dakota Stockman's Association, an 86 year old beef cattle trade organization. We're here today as a long-time advocate of animal agriculture, environmental stewardship, and private property rights. As such, we want to go record in support of this proposal. To us, the consideration boils down to this: are they in environmental compliance, are zoning requirements being met and adhered to? Based on the information presented, the answer to that question is an emphatic yes. In other words, if all legal requirements are being satisfied, this operation and other operations, whether it be beef, swine, dairy, must be allowed to move forward.

Animal agriculture is an important economic driver for North Dakota, and there are opportunities towards growth across the state. Our entire state benefits from

responsible animal ag development and we urge your support on this project. Thank you.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Next up is Seth Bacon. Oops, excuse me, David Vaneura. (laughter from audience).

David Vaneura: (())

Karl Rockeman: O.k. Then it is Seth Bacon.

Seth Bacon: Hi. My name is Seth Bacon. I am the president of North Dakota Pork Producers Council and yes, my last name is Bacon, so it's kind of meant to be. (laughter from audience). However, I am not here to talk on behalf of North Dakota Pork Council who represents all type of pig farms across the state. I am here to give you just a quick shot of my story of raising pigs on 5,000 head sow unit for the last eighteen years in Grand Forks County. Growing up raised some pigs with my dad, raising 4-H and FFA projects, selling butcher pigs through the local livestock rings and Cloverdale processing plant in Minot, which all three are now gone. When I was at NDSU, I worked at the NDSU Swine Unit on campus, and at the time, raising pigs on dirt my entire life, coming into a hundred sow units seemed enormous. I joined our pig cooperative in 1998 when the farm was just getting started, it just worked out that I was getting out of college, the farm was just getting started. Our cooperative is made up of family farmers who all pooled their resources together to raise pigs in North D.....raise very, very healthy pigs in North Dakota.

There was some concerns when the farm first started, which were met, and now we are a respected member of the business community. We have twenty full-time positions that are filled with people from all the surrounding areas. Our starting wages are \$13.50/hr. plus benefits and have a million dollar payroll which brings a lot of money to small town North Dakota, especially now with commodity prices being low and the oil boom kind of being at a lower pace right now.

Manure stinks no matter what you put...type of critter it comes out of, including people. We don't think of it as waste that needs to be disposed of, rather, as long as it is managed and handled very correctly, which we do, it becomes a very, very valuable asset to local grain farmers. I love what I do, and I wouldn't.....I'd be doing it somewhere else if I couldn't stay here. I was born and raised in North Dakota, and there's no place else I'd rather be. My peers are growing up saying the same thing. Thank you for your time.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Alright, now we will move on to those that deferred for the first time. We will, uh, they have a, uh, group, um, to go in order. We'll start with Carolyn Dostert. And if you would, when a new speaker comes up, please state your name so they can have it for the record. At this point we will no longer be timing, you have as much time as you would like.

Carolyn Dostert: My name is Carolyn Dostert and I live in Buffalo, and I'm representing the Concerned Citizens of Buffalo, and I'd like to present a letter from the Johns Hopkins Center for a Livable Future Bloomberg School of Public Health in Baltimore, Maryland. It's addressed to Dr. Terry, Dwelle, State Health Officer regarding Rolling Green Family Farms, RELLP.

Dear Dr. Dwelle,

We are researchers at the Johns Hopkins Center for a Livable Future, based at the Bloomberg School of Public Health. The Center engages in research policy analysis, education and other activities guided by an ecologic perspective that diet, food production, the environment, and public health are interwoven elements of a complex system. We recognize the prominent role that food animal production plays regarding a wide range of public health issues within and associated with that system.

We have been contacted by the Buffalo, North Dakota residents concerning the proposed 9,000 head capacity sow operation, Rolling Green Family Farms. We understand that the North Dakota Department of Health is preparing to review a

confined animal feeding operation permit application for this operation, and we are writing to express our concerns regarding the potential public health impacts of this operation. Based on evidence from numerous scientific studies of similar facilities, the proposed operation, if constructed and put into operation, may present a range of health risks to members of the surrounding community.

Our concerns regarding the proposed swine operation include the following:

- 1) Antibiotic resistance and the spread of infectious diseases to communities
- 2) Ground water and surface water pollution and associated health impacts
- 3) Air pollution odors and associated health social impacts

These are detailed below with supporting evidence from peer reviewed scientific literature. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this important issue. We appreciate you taking these concerns into consideration.

[She continues] Residents in Buffalo and surrounding areas have relayed concerns to us regarding community members' various chronic health conditions, including asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), high blood pressure, cancer, and other ailments. There is evidence that some of these conditions, primarily asthma, may be exacerbated by living near industrial food animal production facilities, which raises concerns about whether these residents could be particularly vulnerable to the health risks described above.

We hope our letter is helpful in describing some of the potential public health harms associated with large scale swine confinement operations. We strongly advise the North Dakota Department of Health to take these consider....or these concerns into consideration when deciding whether or not to approve the construction of Rolling Green Family Farms. Through our research, we know that the health departments face many barriers addressing issues surrounding industrial food animal production, and we're prepared to serve as a resource for your office. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions.

776	Sincerely,
777	Anthony So, M.D., M.P.A.,
778	Robert S. Lawrence, M.D.
779	Jillian P. Frey, Ph.D. and Ph.(())
780	Keve E. Knockman, Ph.D., MHS
781	Robert P. Martin
782	Brent F. Kim, MHS
783	And Claire M. Fitch, MSPH

They also attached all of their reference material for your approval.

Judith Von Bank: My name is Judith Von Bank. I live at 3610 140th Avenue SE, and my husband's name is Robert. We live a little over a mile from the proposed large scale corporate hog operation. My husband and I are very concerned about what the hydrogen sulfide and methane gas will do to our health. My husband has severe asthma and he won't be able to enjoy the outdoor activities and the lifestyle that he has enjoyed at our farm for the past 77 years. Robert needs exercise because of his fibromyalgia, and it will be impossible to walk on our country roads. We are very concerned that our quality of life will be completely destroyed. I'd like to read a letter from my husband's physician, Dr. Patrick Stoy, Pulmonologist.

"Dear Robert.

In discussion with your wife, I have learned that there are plans to construct a large hog operation near your home. This, to me, raises significant concerns with regard to your severe, difficult to manage asthma. I would anticipate that the fumes that would include ammonia and methane gas generated by this kind of an operation would lead to considerate difficulties managing and dealing with your asthma, and a great deal of breathing difficulties for you.

I think this plan of construction is certainly not in your interest whatsoever, and if there were a way to relocate that operation at a great distance from you it would be highly advised. Thank you."

Vicki Wendt: My name is Vicki Wendt. I live at 3805 139th Avenue SE, just south of Buffalo. I am presenting here on behalf of my neighbor, Arnetta Frueh. She wanted to be here today, but her mom is potentially in her last couple weeks, so she's with her, so I'm....this is on her behalf. Arnetta writes:

"As a concerned citizen of Buffalo, we are here to express our concerns to the Department of Health about a proposed corporate hog operation that will be located one and a half miles from our dream home. We are very concerned about the effects of hydrogen sulfide, methane gas, and ammonia gases, and any particulate matter to our health and those of our family members. We have two grandsons with asthma, my mother has terminal small cell lung cancer, and myself with anxiety and stage four kidney failure.

We walk our country roads, not just for pleasure, but also for health reasons. We look forward to being outside using every ten acres of space after a long North Dakota winter."

That is signed by Arnetta Frueh, and she also has a couple more pages describing in detail her concerns and I'm just gonna talk about a couple of highlights. Um, she says, her and her husband a mile and a half from this site, they said, when we first heard about this we were excited. They thought, something's coming to Buffalo, this is great. Um, we also didn't know what a CAFO was. So, they started researching and when we looked it up we were not looking for anything but good things and we were shocked. So, I have heard that we are not supposed to believe anything we read on the internet I guess, um, I get that when it's, you know, some random person's Facebook comments, and you know, there's such thing as hearsay and babbling on the internet, and there are studies done by universities that are not made up. Whatever we've talked

about hasn't all been us just blatantly lying because we are out of fear. We are reading legitimate studies, or about legitimate studies.

Arnetta goes on to say, "We have three grandbabies that we wear on our chests." She talks about snowbanks and go carts and bicycles and climbing trees—helping grandma with the garden. And they pick apples and taste test them, they're a mile and a half from this proposed site. Now, two of these grandchildren have asthma. Arnetta says, "I am not against hog farming or any other farming," which I think just about all of us in this room would say, we're not against it all, we oppose, what I oppose is the amount of hogs and byproduct in such a small area and so close to a community and farms within the proposed area. Thank you.

Gerald Marcks: My name is Gerald Marcks and I live at 3506 140th Avenue SE. Uh, I was born in the same bedroom that I sleep in now at 77 years. My granddad and my dad farmed this land for over 80 years before I bought my father out. I've farmed ever since I was a sophomore in high school and I have been involved with milk cows, beef cattle, hogs, in my earlier years. I am still farming today 'cuz I enjoy the benefits of being my own boss and seeing what the next year brings.

The last ten to twelve years I had heart disease. Two minor heart attacks, triple bypass surgery, two stints put in, and I have a leaky valve. I take a lot of medicine for these conditions—high blood pressure, bad cholesterol, blood thinners and others. Part of my health routine is walking and staying active. I am concerned that the hog factory will be a detriment to my well-being. All of my land lies within 2 ½ miles from the planned site, and my farmhouse is 1 ½ away. With all the air pollution, hydrogen sulfide, ammonia, methane and toxins, carbon dioxide and particulate matter that will be released into the air, I am concerned about my health.

One quarter of my land is 80 feet from the proposed buildings. Excess runoff from this site flows across the land and another quarter of the farm is across the township road 1,860 feet away. If this isn't enough, my neighbors will be applying

manure on 1,140 acres all around me to the northeast and west. All 1,500 acres that I farm will be impacted. I hope that the people at the health department will take this under considerance and deny this permit.

At this time, I would like to read my wife's, she's here in attendance today but she's unable to get up here, so. Uh, I will read a passage she's written.

"My name is Jacqueline Marcks. Gerald Marcks is my husband and our farm home is 1 ½ miles from the proposed hog factory. I have lived there since we were married 56 years ago. I am a cancer survivor--colon cancer survivor. I was diagnosed with primary biliary cirrhosis of the liver at Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minnesota. I doctored there for two years, going every six months and was told that I would be a transplant candidate someday. I was told later that the doctors in Fargo would be able to treat my condition and all my records were transferred to St. Luke's, now Sanford.

Two years ago I had a TIPS procedure done where the veins to my liver were (()) and two stents were put in. My immune system is weak and my blood panel is askew. However, I am maintaining now. I have been hospitalized several times in the past few years and have appointments every three months with a (()) specialist for my ailments. I am currently also in a group study at Mayo Clinic for hereditary primary biliary cirrhosis. I am concerned that air quality coming from the proposed hog factory near Buffalo would be harmful to my weakened condition. I fear that air emissions, again with hydrogen sulfide, methane, along with particulate matter will be released from this close hog factory and manure will be spread on land next to ours and all around, which will add to my medical problems. In closing, I ask the Department of Health and Water to deny this permit. Thank you."

Debbie Coon: My name is Debbie Coon and I am reading a letter from Tracie Zaun, who is a resident in Buffalo.

"To Whom it May Concern:

As you are aware, there is a lot of concern stemming from the community meeting in Buffalo, North Dakota on January 18th, regarding Rolling Greens Family Farms moving in a hog farm operation. I am writing a letter of concern due to medical reasons. I am a 40 year old female, and has been dealing with a compromised immune system; I have Lupus.

As you are well aware, when someone has a compromised immune system, they have a harder time fighting off viruses, bacteria, and (()). I have days where I feel normal, but I also have days that make it difficult to leave my house. When I heard about a hog farm coming to our community, I had immediate concerns for my health and others in the community that also suffer from auto immune diseases and other health issues.

One of my biggest concerns that I have is zoonotic disease transmission, especially from MSRA. In some of the research that I have looked at, people who live within a mile of a hog operation are nearly three times more likely to carry MRSA in their noses than the general population. That's from a chemist study in 2014. And also another MRSA study conducted in Pennsylvania in 2013, Joan Casey, Frank Curriero, Sara Cosgrove, KE Nachman, Brian S. Schwartz, 2013, found that people who live near fields treated with industrially farmed hog manure are significantly more likely to be treated for infections and that people who live near hog operations showed a similar but weaker association with MRSA infection rates. With this being said, those that have weakened immune systems are at increased risk for developing staph infections, including MRSA.

It has been brought to my attention that there will be application of manure from the hog farm to fields that are adjoining land my husband and I own. This brings concern to me also as this could increase risks to my health and others near and dear to me. Thank you for taking the time to listen to my concerns regarding my health and those that live in the community, as there are elderly folks that live in the community that

could be affected. Do not allow this illegal permit to build this facility, as I do not consider this a family operation and I care about family, friends, and neighbors in our community.

911 Sincerely,

912 Tracie Zaun"

She also has a letter from her, um, medical physician:

"To Whom it May Concern:

Tracie Zaun has di....has the diagnosis Lupus. This patient was seen in my office and is currently receiving medical care. Thank you.

917 Melissa Erdmann, MP"

Terry Grieve: Good afternoon, my name is Terry Grieve. I live in Buffalo. My mother, Carol Beilke, has asked me to read a letter that she has written. Mom writes:

"I am very worried about the effects a large swine operation will have on me if allowed to be built just two miles from the city of Buffalo, where I live. I have congestive heart failure and COPD. I use oxygen almost continuously. I own land within a mile of where the operation would be built, often give rise to (()) during the farming season. I like to be outside and enjoy the fresh air. Will I be able to do so in the future without jeopardizing my health. I have attached a letter from my doctor stating her concerns. Reading it makes me even more concerned and scared. I ask you to deny the permit so my health and well-being and that of my family and friends in and near Buffalo is not sacrificed for the growth of one business. Thank you for your time and consideration."

She also asks that I share the letter she received from Sanford Health. The letter reads:

"To Whom it May Concern:

Mrs. Carol Beilke is a patient of mine followed in internal medicine at Sanford Health System. I have cared for Carol for many years. She suffers from a serious lung condition requiring continuous oxygen. I have concerns about her health in the event

that a large confined animal feeding operation be located near her home. My concerns include air contamination, with dust, mold, bacterial endotoxins and volatile gas, which would very likely negatively affect her breathing capacity and respiratory status.

((Strike)) is a clearly documented respiratory symptoms associated with exposure to chemical components of CAFO air emissions. In particular ammonia and hydrogen sulfide. This would seriously detrimental to my patient. I encourage the North Dakota State Health Department to take this into close consideration and ban CAFO's near communities that will suffer from toxic emissions. Thank you for your time and kind consideration.

944 Sincerely,

945 Dorene Gistad-Stave"

Thank you.

Sheila Thompson: My name is Sheila Thompson, and I want to speak on behalf of my husband Roy and myself. We live about a mile from this proposed operation. We built our own home, handicapped accessible, planning on it being our retirement home, but also for our grandson Jake, who is crippled.

Being outside is one of our great pleasures. Having a big garden and flowerbeds, we spend many evenings working in them and enjoying our gazebo. If this operation is built, the manure would be spread on every field surrounding our home. We'd both be endangering our health, by being outside, breathing the exhaust of these hog barns.

What effect will the hydrogen sulfide and high levels of ammonia have on our health, the vegetables we grow and more importantly, our kids and grandkids. Our oldest grandson, Jake, is with us every weekend. He has had many brain surgeries. He has cerebral palsy. He is blind in one eye and losing sight in the other. We are very concerned how air quality will affect him.

Our kids and grandkids come for visits. Our daughter Rachel has asthma and allergies so bad, she has to have inhalers and a portable breathing machine with her at all times. If it's too bad, she'll no longer be able to visit.

The Health Department should be concerned for all North Dakotans. Everyone should be able to breathe clean air and have clean water. We are asking you to please deny this permit. Thank you.

Antoinette Babcock: I'm Antoinette Babcock and I'm a resident of Buffalo. I am speaking on behalf of The Concerned Citizens who are submitting a health petition which has been signed by 194 people. The petition reads as follows:

"We, the undersigned citizens and/or property owners, who live and/or work in Buffalo or in the surrounding townships of Buffalo, Hill, Howes, Tower and Wheatland, have been provided information from the document entitled "Understanding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations and Their Impact on Communities" authored by Carrie Hribar, MA, 2010, National Association of Local Boards of Health, Bowling Green, Ohio. We are alarmed by the magnitude of environmental problems associated with CAFO, which pose a direct impact on our health and welfare. We do hereby oppose the permit for application number DNAF00853, filed by the Rolling Green Family Farms, RE, LLP, of Pipestone, Minnesota, for permission to operate a swine production facility on the southeast corner of the northwest corner of section four, township 139 north, range 54 west in Cass County, North Dakota.

In addition to the health petition, we are also submitting a reference list of our research data and the printed document that address the health risks related to swine CAFOs, including issues regarding socioeconomic growth, impact water and air pollution and various diseases such as MRSA. We also have attached many letters which are still arriving, written by concerned citizens addressing their individual health issues that affect their daily life. These concerned citizens **do not** want the North

Dakota Department of Health to grant this illegal permit. We all rely on the North Dakota Department of Health to protect our health, safety and welfare. Thank you."

Liane Stout: My name is Liane Veronica Stout, and I am a resident of Buffalo. I was born and raised in Buffalo, North Dakota. I am very proud of the quality of education that I received at the Buffalo Public Schools. The Consolidated Maple Valley School District has continued this tradition of quality education for over 200 students from our community as well as neighboring towns of Fingle, Oriska, and Tower City.

Education is an important part of our communities and has given a way of life we appreciate. We have a strong sense of caring and concern for all who live here. Our rural lifestyle is based on sustainable agriculture and locally owned family farms. It was a shock to me when I was informed in January that a proposed concentrated animal feeding operation for 9,000 swine was to be located several miles southeast of Buffalo. I had been involved with a group of concerned citizens who have been reviewing and researching this complex environmental impact and the health risks of this type of operations. These are several of my great concerns:

- 1) Water and air pollution. The potential of water pollution from the waste produced by 9,000 swine can contaminate waterways, including the Buffalo Creek, the Maple River, and eventually the Red River. The land around the building site is one of the highest elevations in Cass County. Other states with large numbers of CAFOs have experienced 20 to 30 serious water pollution problems a year due to the manure application process in the fields. At the least, the quality of daily life in our neighborhoods could be seriously reduced due to the foul smelling air. Serious respiratory illnesses can result for workers, and we are especially concerned about similar health impacts for our children and our senior citizens and all of our neighbors.
- 2) Property values and local economy. Residential property values often significantly go down when an industrial hog farm moves into the area. We do not understand how this would be good for any growth or sustainability in our community if

nobody wants to move here or continue to live here. Once built, the concentrated animal feeding operation usually have their feed trucked in, the animals regularly trucked out, and often low paid labor is used. This scenario robs our community of local economic, environmental, and social benefits, plus saddles us with the costs we will have to pay to haul these thousands of pigs and truckloads of feed, all with reduced property taxes.

On a personal issue, I have asthma and chronic allergies and have had that my entire life. Any respiratory distress can put me in the emergency room struggling to take each breath. As scary as this is for me, I am horrified by the threat to the neighboring individuals who are exposed to the daily emissions of this type of swine factory so close to their home.

Please carefully consider what we have to lose. I do not want a permit granted to Rolling Green Family Farms. It will cause irreparable damage to the people in the community that I call home. Thank you.

Bill Marcks: As has been stated previously, I would thank the members of the North Dakota Department of Health for giving us a chance to hear us. When I recently was made aware of the proposed pig CAFO, friends of mine from other areas of the state said, "Don't waste your time; the decision has already been made in Bismarck." Their being here today reassures me that our, they listen to us, our system does work.

I'm Bill Marcks. I was raised on our family farm in Buffalo, by Buffalo. After college, I started in the U.S. Army, worked in the Fargo Public Schools for many years and eventually returned to my hometown of Buffalo about thirty years ago. I'm a past mayor of the community and I'm proud to be involved in our American Legion and the City of Buffalo Historic Preservation Commission, the Buffalo Community Club, and the city park board.

Before I go into the rest of my statement, I jotted a few things down during our last break, and I'm really amazed at all the wonderful comments I've been hearing from over here the last hour or so. When I've done all of my research, I had no trouble

finding, I had a lot of trouble finding that kind of information in studies, but I had absolutely no trouble finding very negative things in many, many studies.

The proposed concentrated animal feeding operation to be located southeast of Buffalo could be devastating to the quality of life I enjoy now with my friends, my family, neighbors, in our small community of about 200. I'm alarmed by the potential for serious health risks for me and everyone exposed to the daily emissions of such a large swine factory. I currently take medication for high blood pressure and allergies and do not want my health compromised. Sorry.

Our group of Concerned Citizens of Buffalo is certainly not opposed to local family farms with animals, but resists to corporate control and potential devastation of our water and air quality and much more. I challenge you, all of you, to show us communities who really feel they are better off with this type of factory farming. It's simply not true, at least anything I can find in writing, that the employees of this hog operation will be our neighbors, friends, and families. The employees will not be family farmers; this is much different than what makes North Dakota a leader in agriculture. We have been leaders because of our strong family farmers and ranchers who own their farms and understand what it means to be connected to the community where they live and where they work.

As part of the Concerned Citizens of Buffalo, I have done my own research to learn about the huge impact of this type of factory farming. I want a voice in my destiny, for the community I call home, and a sense of well-being for my family, friends, and neighbors. I strongly oppose any permit for the Rolling Green Family Farm, slang, CAFO, and ask the North Dakota Department of Health to stop them from moving into our neighborhood and possibly further around the state. Thank you.

Kathy Tyler: Hi, I'm Kathy Tyler, 48170 144th Street, Big Stone City, South Dakota, 57216, and I, too, would like to thank you for your time today and giving

everyone an opportunity to, to voice their fears and their opinions. That is much appreciated.

Um, I live about two miles south of Fargo. I'm a member of the Grant County Concerned Citizens, and I was invited to speak here today. Um, I've, kind of ironic, we found out about this situation through one of our county commissioners and they wanted to make sure that someone would talk to the people up here and I thought that was rather ironic.

Before you get any id...,before you get the idea that I am a city slicker, and complaining about odor, I grew up on a farm, I live on an acreage, and I, we own approximately 400 acres of farm ground that we lease out. My husband and I would be farmers or ranchers today if the stars would have aligned when my dad was retiring, but that just didn't work out.

We've lived in our current rural address since 1974, and up until this past summer we have had no complaints about any of our neighbors. Our neighbors include numerous farmers, both active and retired, retired and working couples, a small organic dairy of about 70 head, um, 700 dairy, cow dairy, about a mile south of us, a power plant and then milk production facility, so we are very, very, um, we are varied in our neighborhood.

Pipestone - Teton completed their facility in our neighborhood last spring. It's probably about 5/8 of a mile from my front yard, um, southwest. Within three months the smell started. They have phase 1 fans coming off the pits, and these fans run 24 hours a day. You may have heard from some who have visited a facility that there is no smell. According to employees at the plant, plant, excuse me, at the facility, there is basically no smell inside the barns because these fans, which are necessary, are running 24 hours a day. If that air from those pits did not, was not exhausted, those pigs would not survive, and that is the air that they're sending out to us, their neighbors.

This, in its, I...I again, I will reiterate, we only live about a ½ a mile away from that facility, so I'm not going to go into a lot of our situations, I will hit the mile marker on that. The smell that we experience is like no other smell. It seems to be heavier air and it seems to come in waves. For example, if we are out for a walk or riding horseback or whatever along our road, you can hit the smell, go another 1500 feet and it's gone. It's kind of like a plume, as someone described, but there are times where it is so tough that you have to hold your breath until you get through that area.

Like I said, we have two dairies in our neighborhood. One dairy, a small organic dairy - approximately 70 cows - and that's a guess, is about a nor....a mile northwest of their pig barn, pig farm. When they are downwind, they smell the pigs in their dairy yard, o.k., so we're talking about a 70 cow dairy yard – they smell the pigs within their dairy yard. The other, a larger dairy, and I'm assuming there's 700 cows in that dairy, it's two barns, is southeast of the pig barn about 3/4 of a mile. The farmer has talked to me about the odor in his yard; he can stand on the south side of his barns, both of his barns, the odor goes all the way through a grove of trees, over both of his barns and sits on the south side.

Our neighbors and we have been keeping track of the smell on calendars since last August. What it boils down to, that if you are downwind, you will be affected by the stink coming from the barns. I have personally smelled the odor up to three miles away. Some days it's worse than others, it depends on cloud cover, humidity, wind speed, the whole bit.

You were probably told that the odor would be minimal, and I...I also saw in one of the newspaper articles that that was stated by a Pipestone official, and they also told us that, in fact, their application for this facility stated in part, letter E, "All manure is stored under the buildings in concrete pits; therefore, drainage is not a concern for potential odor production." And letter F, "There will not be open pens to create potential odor." Well, the odor is not minimal, nor was it potential. It is maximum and it is actual.

The place stinks. Luckily, we've had no one in our neighborhood has experienced health problems as of yet.

I've been accused, we've been accused of being anti-ag, anti-small farmer, and because of our complaints against the Pipestone System in our area. We are in no way anti-ag or anti-farm. One must remember that all farms are ag, but not all ag is farms.

One thing that I ask of this committee, well two things, really, please don't grant the permit for this location. And location is so important. You know you ask, o.k. well, where can we put it? Find your hog producers and put it in their backyard. And if you do grant this permit, please require that the facility have an expert design and install biofilters or other equipment that will reduce odor and contaminated air by at least 9% on a year-round basis. Research shows that this is possible. And also require that those biofilters be maintained so as to consistently achieve this goal. I thank you for your time and I'm very willing to answer any questions about my situation if anyone chooses to do so. Thank you.

Randy Coon: Hello, my name is Randy Coon, and I farm south of Buffalo. Uh, the opinions expressed on the topic of (()) management are solely those of the Concerned Citizens of Buffalo, do not represent any other individual, entity or institution. I am here today as a private citizen and I do not represent North Dakota State University with the Department of Agribusiness and Applied Economics.

Using liquid hog manure as crop fertilizer does present problems. Manure is not a plant nutrient balanced product. In other words, the ratio of nitrogen to phosphoresce is such that applying enough nitrogen for crop nutrient requirements will result in excess phosphorus being added to the soil. It is possible for this phosphorus to reach high enough levels to cause a movement off site and get into the groundwater. North Dakota State University and the University of Minnesota researchers have recommended applying enough manure to meet the phosphorus requirement. We strongly recommend the nutrient management plan be required to use phosphorus to set the maximum

application rate, and the soil be tested using total extraction method to determine the total phosphorus in the soil.

Determining the sustainability of the nutrient plan was difficult because five of the six land easement contracts were incomplete. Water quality risk assessment maps provided incorrect legal descriptions and acreages, with one quarter section showing 200 acres. The maps showed boundaries for each parcel that include setbacks which consist of waterways and non-cropland acreage, but do not include the Howes Township ordinance setbacks. These setbacks are important because when turning, the applicator lifts, the applicator would leave the pump running and drops the manure clear around the corner, around the, just, on top of the ground. They didn't show you that in the film.

For these reasons, the proposed vague language, it's overstated. Nutrient content of liquid manure can vary greatly. An NDSU study by Lingeman recommended using analysis of 27 pounds of nitrogen, 27 pounds of phosphorus, and 15 pounds of potassium per thousand gallons of liquid manure. Soil tests provided in this, um, application for field number one, showed 24 pounds of nitrogen, two parts per million of phosphorus, and 182 parts per million of potassium in the soil.

To achieve a realistic corn yield goal of 140 plus bushels per acre, 170 pounds of N, 102 pounds of phosphorus, and 170 pounds of potassium would be required. Because phosphorus in liquid manure is 80% available, 1000 gallons of liquid manure would contain 21.6 pounds of phosphorus. Dividing the 102 pounds required by the 26, 21.6 pounds available would give 4,722 gallons per acre for a rate. If the sediment in gallons we estimated of liquid manure were used to be disposed of each year, 1,482 acres of corn would be required.

Soil tests indicate no nitrogen is needed for soybeans, so liquid manure should not be applied for that crop. A typical corn, soybean rotation would require applying manure to the same ground every other year. Applying the liquid manure above the recommended rates would lead to excessive nutrients getting into the groundwaters and rivers. Almost all the proposed acres for this manure distribution drain into the Maple River, which further drains into the Red River. The Red River flows north into Canada, and this could lead to contamination of international waters. This illustrates the importance of restricting application rates to match the phosphorus requirements.

The proposed location for the facility is in a very unique soils area. Its proximity to the Lake Agassiz shoreline has a significant effect on the area's soils. National Resource Conservation Service, NRCS, web soil survey maps can provide numerous measurements for these soils, including a sustainability and limitations rating. This category is further subdivided into waste management for manure and food processing waste. These ratings are based on soil properties that affect absorption, plant growth, microbial activity, and rotability. The ratings go from 0.0 to 1.0, with a 1.0 rating being classified as very limited for this use. Um, we have a map up there of the land right adjacent to the east of the proposed site. Um, limitations for these soils cannot be overcome without major reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures.

These soils are named Hamerly-Tonka soils. The red colored area on the map represents the areas that have a 1.0 rating and um, these are very limi...this is a very limited reading. If the Hamerly-Tonka soils are to be considered for manure application, we strongly recommend an environmentally responsible nutrient management plan with strict adherence to the application rules and very close monitoring by the Department of Health to prevent pollution and environmental problems. Next one.

Further contributing to the potential pollution problems is the amount of tiled acres that drain into the Maple River. The north ½ of section 36 of Tower Township is tiled and this is land that's been designated for manure application. NRCS maps provide information regarding agronomic concerns for pesticide and nutrient leaching. The Hamerly-Tonka soils in this parcel are rated from 0 to 1.0 with the 1.0 being the very

limited for this use. About 2/3 of that land is rated at a 1.0, indicating a high-risk pesticide nutrient leaching.

The waterway that goes to the southwest corner of that land drains directly into the Maple River; it's ½ mile away. Applying liquid manure to this land poses a high level of environmental risk. We recommend that tiled acres not be allowed to be used for application of liquid hog manure, or in a minimum, application should be in the spring and under close supervision and monitoring by the State Health Department.

Based on the information we have provided, there is not sufficient acreage available to dispose of the seven million gallons of liquid hog manure produced annually. Acreage contracts overstate the available acres in drain tile land and Hamerly-Tonka soil problems have not been addressed. Over applying liquid manure to the soils could prevent serious environmental problems. This nutrient management plan is not achievable or sustainable. Further compounding the problem of disposing of the liquid manure is the easement map, which will be talked about by Craig Wendt.

The Concerned Citizens of Buffalo urge the State Health Department to stay true to their mission statement. This illegal permit request must be denied to protect the health of Buffalo area citizens and everyone's air quality and water quality. Thank you.

Craig Wendt: Hi there, my name is Craig Wendt, um, a lifelong resident of Buffalo; I consider Buffalo my home and I live approximately one mile south of the interstate, so about 3 ½ miles south of Buffalo, and where they're proposing to put this hog factory as the crow flies, I'm 2 ½ miles away from it. Uh, they're proposing to uh, spread the manure as close to as a ½ mile behind my house, approximately 300 acres. Uh, my wife and I and our two teenage daughters, um, live just a few miles straight south of Buffalo, as I mentioned. I'm not a farmer. Both my wife and I choose to live out here because this is our hometown. We both live in Far.., both work in Fargo.

Our daughters are currently attending Maple Valley School where I graduated in 1987. I'm horrified that this out-of-state corporation can build a hog factory so close to

our community. Um, as Mr. Coon was talking about um, I..I want to go into a little bit here about how the area farmers and landowners have signed a mutual agreement to refuse easements. These ease....easement, this agreement states, "The undersigned landowners of the property in and around Buffalo, Hill, Howes, Tower, and Wheatman Township are aware that Rolling Greens Family Farm and its affiliates are attempting to secure easements to apply manure or solids to the agriculture land around the above-referenced townships. Uh, we pledge to withhold the grant of any easements to Rolling Green Farms, its subsidiaries, affiliates, for the purpose of 1) application of manure waste, 2) to install a pipeline across(t) our land,"

And what I'd like to talk to you about is the map up there, uh, right there. The white and yellow boxes represent townships. So, when you're looking at the white and yellow, that's what, they're just different townships. The green represents where they're planning on applying the manure, and if you see where the star is, that's where the facility is located. That's approximately ½ mile north of the interstate and as the crow flies, a little over two miles, uh, from Buffalo. So all the green represents where they're proposing to put this. All the red represents all the signed signatures we have from area landowners and farmers that are holding back and saying 'we do not want this, uh, or we're going to ((allow need)) easements of pipes across(t) our land.'

Um, there's a total of 46 pages of signed documents which account for a little over 33,000 acres. The number of acres refusing easements speaks volumes that the people around Buffalo and the community are not for this. So, when you're looking at the amount of acres that they already have secured, and you're hoping they're going to pick up some more, you know, maybe a little bit more research should have been done in talking to some of the other area farmers before you just came in here and took one area farmer's word that you're gonna pick up more land.

So with that being said, I hope the Health Department takes in and looks at our concerns and makes sure they haven't...you know a proper place to apply all this manure. Thank you for your time.

Lee Fraase: Yah, my name is Lee Fraase. Um, our family farm is southeast of Buffalo, and...our land is um, southeast, east, northeast, northwest of this site and we do have four houses on our farm. My concern is the elevation drop, the water runoff, the soil contamination, how it will affect our farm and the neighbor's farms. Not only are we downwind, but we are also downstream. My brother and I had a farrow-to-finish operation for 30 years, and this proposed hog farm is a hundred times bigger than what ours was. And, by the way, this 15 million dollar site will pay 320 dollars in property taxes. I repeat, 320.

The elevation of the proposed site is 1142 feet. You can look at these maps and you can, by the colors and...and um, you can see the difference. Because of the water table, the bottom of this 8.6 million gallon pit is at the proposed elevation of 1139. The elevation in the southeast corner of this field is 1130, so there is a 12 foot drop in this ½ mile and that means the field next to the county road is nine feet lower than the bottom of this huge pit.

The runoff from this site goes southeast and east of the Ayr...of the Ayr exit along the I-94 ditches by our farm down through the Lake Agassiz second beach line, settling in the flat shore bed of Lake Agassiz. This has an elevation of 1, 079. That's a 63 foot drop in these 2 ¼ miles. In our pasture we have a stock pond and there's also wetlands. There it drops down, there's a stock pond, there's a wetlands, there's the bottom of Lake Agassiz, and that goes south, um, that flat shore bed drops west in one foot per mile as it heads into the Buffalo Creek.

This map shows how it does flow southeast from the proposed site. A shallow containment pond is planned to catch runoff water from this site and also drain tile will be placed under and around the pits to collect any dirty water which may escape from

the pits and pumped into the pond, while this pond plans to be pumped into this ditch. That's...that's the main ditch that it goes on. Now in...in and um, in 2000, Larimore had 20 inches in 24 hours. Enviro-Port, they pumped out their lagoons, yes it was a lagoon, but they pumped it out onto the adjacent fields to save their lagoon from breaking.

This containment pond that will be pumped in here, could have dirty water, probably will. If you really look close, um, there's a ditch here that comes out of Gerry Collins' quarter in the southwest and it comes into the highway ditch just east of this I-94 culvert. It's about 50 feet. Let's go to the main southeast ditch coming from the proposed site. That ditch hits I-94's ditch 133 feet each...east of that culvert. Water runs downhill, it doesn't back up and decide to go through a culvert later. So all this proposed site water moves quickly to the east and collects along that county road flowing eastbound, not southbound like your permanent application has claimed.

Next few pag...um, that just shows how that um, how that all drains. That's where all the maps are, and look at that high elevation there, there's no way the water gets over that high elevation and gets down over here. It goes to the southeast and it comes our way. Next one. Um, that just shows how it comes along 94 and that's, you know, our culverts that take it on each side. Go ahead. No that's...alright there we are.

Alright. Let's go to the north side of this proposed, um, building site. There's an intermittent stream, as you can see, it's highlighted there. In the red, stream, I know it is 'cause my CRP's considered a filter strip because it goes through there. NRCS determines this by constant flow of water for long periods of time. It flows southeast through my land, across my CRP, near my house, next to one open well, drops down across the old Lake Agassiz beach line and dumps into one of my other stock ponds. This land drops 104 feet in four miles, and after this drop, this intermittent stream then continues dropping east, through my pasture, right by my shallow well, as you can see pictures of it right there, it's an open well, and then it continues to flow into Garske's Dam, which is part of the Buffalo Creek. (Back up.)

Land in the pink, there is um, acres that are planning to have manure applied to them and they are upstream of this intermittent stream. Spillage, seepage, or just surface runoff, and by the way, that's how prosperous moves in soil is by surface runoff, so if um, if this manure isn't hauled (()) and for some reason they lay it on the surface after annual freeze up, which can happen, um, see where it's gonna go, down that stream.

You do mention setbacks. O.k., setbacks. Well you...are you gonna be out there measuring the correct footage on these setbacks? All the applicator has to go by is that white line you draw on those water quality risk assessment maps. O.k. This map shows the path of runoff from the site and this intermittent stream, stream come together as part of the Buffalo watershed going to the Maple Valley, er, Maple River, sorry. The Maple River. There is a site, there is a stream, it ends up on the Maple River, southeast of Casselton.

'K. The shallow well in my pasture is from an old farmstead, but because it has not been converted to farmland, it's never been bulldozed over like most farmsteads were. See, that's an 18 inch, uh, culvert and two weeks ago the water level was eight feet below the surface. Alright. These old farmsteads, if you can see the yellow, it's a little hard to see. Many of the old farmsteads, uh, that are currently being farmed over and sign up to have large amounts of manure applied over them, 8,000 gallons to be, per acre.

Were these shallow wells properly sealed when the farmstead was bulldozed over? A neighbor 1½ miles to the west, they um, they still use well water on that site. They...they water their gardens and their flowers with it. It's going up to the um, uh, it's that one. Another neighbor, um, ½ a mile from where the manure's planned to be assigned this, um, they still, uh, use that, they still use that, um, a pump is well out of that water well and for his house. He pumps into the house. He uses it for certain things

in the house and then it also goes out into the yard to the hydrants. They used to drink that water.

The Maple River runs through this field where the manure is signed up to be applied. The list location in one other field has drain tile installed in it, and both drain into the Maple River. Note these following aerial photos identifying these old farmsteads. Here's a picture, uh, there's section for the site. This picture, um, 1962, there's a farmstead to the right, um, up top there there's another farmstead that's to the left is an old farmstead that used our well that we farm now. Uh, next is, um, it's hard to see, but it's there, it's saying two farm sites. If you really close up high, the one says pasture, one says farmstead, one says...let's see...trees, um, so if there's a pasture there and there's buildings there, there's a good chance there was wells there. Next.

Here's, ah, northeast of 32, which is farmed. That was done in 19...that was a picture from 1962. And last, uh, there's been section 29 and that's, uh, Pearson place up on section 29. O.k. I'm going to map 23. Oh, that was the one before, but. This map includes a very unique geological and soil features in this area because of the glacial Lake Agassiz. They are called eskers. They are a significant sandier lands of soil beneath the soil, and you look up there and it's section 29. There's a lot of eskers out there. That's what...whenever watered nutrients enter the soil from above and in these areas it could enter into these soil lands called the eskers below and move more rapidly downhill easterly towards the intermittent stream. Look how those eskers point right towards that intermittent stream. It should be a concern when we're applying large quantities of liquid manure.

Alright. After talking to three different custom manure applicators, all of 'em said 8,000 gallons per acre's the minimum rate that they could apply. It's too hard on the hose if you go less. This is a concern, because as Randy Coon already mentioned, over 40% of these soils signed up have...to be...have manure applied to 'em are very limited in their ability to handle the manure because they're Hamerly...Hamerly-Tonka soils. So

even if the customer applicator's a great (()) application rate, you'd need more acres. Some of these acres that have been signed up were at unrealistic distances from the proposed hog farm site. One site's over ten miles away, and will not likely, not even be used. It's a known fact, the closest land to the site will always be over-applied. And, it can, the, uh, 1,000 gallons, uh, they go by rate. It could easily cost between 80,000 and 160,000 dollars to pump this pit. It does not make it cheaper than commercial fertilizer, and remember, they get paid by the gallon, not by the acre. So, pumping hoses, pumping through these hoses is a preferred way, but there's a limit to how far you can pump this manure. Especially when you have to try and cross I-94, State Highway 38, BNSF ((railroad)) tracks, and the Maple River. Trucking costs a lot more than pumping, and over half the fields would need to have to have it trucked.

To put this in perspective, to haul away a, to haul away a quarter of harvested corn, you'd need about 25 semi-loads. To apply a quarter of land with this hog manure, you'd need about 125 semi-loads, that's right, 125. It's pretty tough on township roads. So, in closing, over half of these acres that are signed up or under the listing, they don't have enough acres, and if these acres were applied to the...to the cost, would not be the 60%-70% range of commercial fertilizer costs like we were told, and if applied, there is a definite risk to the watersheds as outlined in my presentation. And uh, thank you for your time and you must deny this illegal permit.

Tim Frueh: My name is Tim Frueh. My address is 14006 37th Street South in Buffalo. My farm is located about 1 ½ from the proposed swine operation in Howes Township. My family and I have lived there for 17 years, and I'd like to submit to you the new Howes Township feedlot ordinance that was filed with...through the department, February 26, 2016. You take...if you review the CAFO ordinance, you'll see that the citing doesn't fit. Take a look at the map, um, our setbacks are a mile and a half for residents, and the outer circle, uh, is 3 ½ miles, and that's our setback specificities. Uh, and if you look, uh, the overlays at the other map, has overlays of the winds, the wind

directions to see if we're gonna have to put up with if this, this site is granted. Uh, I pose you to deny this permit, please.

Alan Dostert: Is this going to work? 'K. Um, My name is Alan Dostert. I'm a licensed architect in the state of North Dakota. I live at 405 4th Street North in Buffalo. And, I've done some review of the application, and I have some serious concerns with what I found. I've divided my, my uh, report into, uh, a design that has a plan analysis, and basically, uh, I'll kind of talk through this verbally.

The dimensions of the isolation facility are 114.7 feet by 174.5 feet. It's a pretty good sized building. The gestation facility, which I have, uh, outlined over here, is 770 feet long by 181 ½ feet wide. This building right here, is the building (()) right now. This is two plus blocks long and nearly a blo..., uh, half a block wide. And ((probably this is what)), it's a great building, it's big, there's not one isolation joint, or one expansion joint in the plans that they submitted.

So, my concerns here, of course – buildings move. The other piece of the information that needs to be brought forward in this building is, the expansion coefficient in concrete will have this building over a hundred degrees, and that would be minus ten to ninety degrees, which we know it can go beyond that. At 5 ½ inches of growth, that's 5 ½ inches across the top foundation, which is braced by quickcast concrete slabs, and of course, the nice cool manure in the bottom is keeping the slab at the bottom motionless; it's not expanding and moving at all because it's a nice little 55 degrees. So, this building is destined to tear itself up over time, just because of temperature alone.

With no control joints in the construction, the temperature cracking that occurs on the curing of the concrete will have the cracks in the concrete 24 hours after it's poured. And then the question is 'how long will those cracks stay shut?' The reinforcement that's specified in this project, um, is, is doesn't meet the ASI standards. ASI standards were, were referenced by Nathan earlier. Uh, he referenced one of the standards in the spec book, but the one that's really important is, is uh, publication 350, which was for

environmental concrete. And that, um, publication would require that you use sulfate resistant concrete, which means higher strength concrete, additives to the concrete, and in extreme conditions, which the hog manure would be, a liner. And all this is, is important because of a couple things. This facility, now I'm not sure where your graphic, uh, came from Carl, but, um, I respectfully disagree with the graphic that was drawn there. This building will be sitting, isolation facility, the subgrade and the tile outlet, which is beneath the slab when they pump the water out and that has a two inch granular base and four inch drain tile. Well, two inches of, of um, free draining material under four inches of drain tile is not very adequate to provide an effective French drain. And not only that, but 40 feet on the center. So, removing the water underneath these slabs will be difficult with that system, at best, causing hydrostatic pressure whenever the manure is low in these facilities, pushing...having an upward pressure on the slabs. The slabs are just mildly reinforced. Uh, the reinforcement according the, uh, publication 350, would be, would say that it needs to be corroded resistant. There's nothing in the specifications for that as well. Uh, in fact, the next part of my review went through those specifications, and with all due respect to my uh, my contemporary in the civil engineering field, we have, uh, a noted 33 items of deficiency on 29 pages that were submitted in the specs. The spec book's only 29 pages to do this 15 Million dollar building. There's no plans for anything about structure, and I understand that's not required from State Health Department, but this, just from sheer size and the intensity and potential exposure of the environment and of health conditions demands that this building has something more than just the state mechanical code, the state electrical code, and ((CMSI)) concrete standards that aren't even being followed right now in, in the code.

1413

1414

1415

1416

1417

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

1429

1430

1431

1432

1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

The point of whole study, and I'm submitting this in writing, is, if this thing does go through, we have some real problems here. This thing is not gonna stand up over time, it's gonna have problems. I would be, uh, uh, really nervous if my stamp was on

this project, um, just because of the durability of this, of this building. This lower level or this pit will leak. Will it leak and cow's groundwater come into the pit and, and prematurely take care of the 365 days storage? I don't know. If it's low, will it leak into the groundwater below. If it goes into the groundwater below, which I'm pretty sure it will, it's even, si....it even standing there, their permit application, that it's a water, it's a dee....a leak detection and a way to re...reduce the water pressure on the bottom of the slabs, 'k. And early leak detection is sort of self-evident that it will leak, it will be contaminated, and this water is considered clean water according to the design, and it's being contained in a shallow pit. A shallow, uh, retention pond. This shallow retention pond is not designed to take on effluent. It's designed like we would store water when we do a project then in Fargo, or might even in Bismarck, or whatever. It's just clean water.

Well we [laughs] we, we know this water is going to be contaminated, that it's gonna happen. Just knowing it will expand, it will leak, it will contaminate that water. That pit is not designed to meet the standards of manure holding pond that's built outside; it doesn't have the proper liner, it doesn't have the proper capacity — it's designed for a, for a, uh, 24 hour, 25 year rain event, and it needs to be a 100 year rain event. There's just, deficiency after deficiency when I look at these plans, and the point to this is, this building needs serious modifications, it needs to be raised up out of the, out of the water table, and a lot of different things need to happen with these plans - high level of quality, more attention to detail, which of course is gonna make it more expensive, and we're get a big push back on that or we're gonna endanger the environment for sure.

There's some other things that I wanted to point out. I've been told by several people that, uh, the smell's not a problem, don't worry about the smell, they've got that figured out. Well, there's no bio filtering, and there's no afterburners, there's nothing taking that effluent out of the airstream. It is coming right out. We would, we demand as

a group of, of, uh, concerned citizens that at least bio filters be incorporated. Once again, I'm talking about things that we need to do to this project if it goes ahead, because this, if it goes ahead, these plans the way they were submitted, we're all going to be very, very sorry for that. [clears throat]

A couple other items I wanted to just go through real quick, Carl talked about the fact that they will not allow discharge, uh, into the water in this state. With the way this groundwater comes out of the...beneath the slabs, and comes into the shallow holding pond, it's not designed to eliminate that, so I don't know that we can say that that's not gonna happen, but at least the way it's submitted today.

A couple of other items that are very, very concerning is, there's a condition called flashover that happens in, in, in the deep manure pits, and it's caused from foam and there's a lots of studies that, that talk about manure foam, so on and so forth, and foam floods a lot in Midwest CAFOs. And when the foam forms, it locks the flammable gases on top of the manure, and that is, those gases keep it from(()), at some point it ruptures and releases that gas. It's caused several losses of facilities and loss of life. We would recommend, or we're actually demanding that the state health, or the state (()) department make this facility be a class one, division one rated facility. That means all devices, switches, motors, lights, everything, need to be explosion proof. That's very expensive, but if we don't do it, what are we gonna risk—10,000 hogs, no big deal. A couple of lives inside there? Big deal. A couple of firemen that respond to it? Big deal.

I'm very, very concerned about where this is going, and I have a lot of other things in my written testimony that's been submitted. And I think that all needs to be taken real seriously as part of the conditions of approval – which the state has the right to put onto this permit. They already have about two or three pages, uh, conditions of approval for this permit. We're asking that this list of items be carefully looked at, and then imp...implemented into the project. Thank you.

Lois Smith: My name is Lois Smith and I have lived in Buffalo for a number of years. I am the librarian at the Margaret Fraase Public Library in Buffalo, and I've had, been in that position for over ten years.

I believe in the power of education, the knowledge gained by reading, and the value of the published word. I strongly recommend that the North Dakota Department of Health take the time to read this book: *The CAFO Reader: The Tragedy of Industrial Animal Factories*, edited by Daniel Imhoff. This book features more than 30 essays by today's leading thinkers on food and agriculture. It provides an unprecedented overview on concentrated animal feeding operations, known as CAFOs, where increasing amounts of the world's meat, milk, eggs, and seafood are produced. The rise of the CAFO industry around the world has become one of the most pressing issues of our time. I want to thank the Department of Health for their time to seriously consider the negative impact created by this type of factory farming. I am convinced by my research, that you must not approve this permit. Thank you.

Roy Thompson: My name is Roy Thompson. I live about a mile from the proposed site. Uh, there are hog operations in the state already, uh, the one in Bottineau County's already been mentioned. There's also one in Nelson County. They're both farther from a town, uh, smaller than this one, and because the counties are so sparsely populated, few rural residents are affected.

The latest census indicates that Bottineau County has, ah, 6,429 residents, and Nelson County has 3,126. By comparison, the population of Cass County is 149,778. Uh, if you subtract Fargo from this total, there still remains 18,399 residents. Out of the 53 counties in this state, Cass County would still be in the top ten, even after taking out Fargo's population. There are ten homes within two miles of this proposed operation that will be affected with the loss in property value and quality of life.

At three miles, the town of Buffalo, and many more re...rural residents will be affected. The state of North Dakota is ranked 47th in the population, in population in the

United States. There is surely a more appropriate site for a hog farm of this size than here. And due to the degree to which current residents will be affected, and the value of their property value and life in general, I believe it is reasonable to regard this proposed operation as a public nuisance. If this permit is granted and the operation is built, there is no way we're putting up with the loss of quality of life while we see our property value plummet 30% to 40% without legal action. I encourage you to deny this permit.

Now back of this, I've got a hundred signed, people have researched, uh, impact of CAFOs such as Rolling Greens and a (()) that such CAFOs typically have a significant negative impact on the property values of surrounding properties. If our value is diminished as a result of this swine operation, we will retain legal counsel and file lawsuits for nuisance and under other pertinent legal theories to obtain damages to loss in value to our homes and any other damages we suffered. And there is 16 signatures on here. Thank you.

Lee Fischer: Alright, my name is Lee Fischer, I'm a resident of Buffalo here. I'm speaking on behalf of the Concerned Citizens of Buffalo who wish to thank the North Dakota Health Department of Health section, division of water quality for granting us this hearing to present our concerns.

Upon first hearing of this proposal, we decided to approach this in an intelligent manner. We hired legal and professional experts and have information gathered from all over the country concerning CAFOs and the applicant. Our conclusion is that this pers....proposed CAFO will have a devastating negative impact to our community, could be disastrous to our environment, and that the permit is illegal. We have produced sacks of ev...evidence how CAFOs will negatively impact health, water, air and the general quality of life. The mission statement of the North Dakota Health Department is to protect and enhance air and water quality for North Dakota citizens. How does permitting an out-of-state corporate business to set up and, clearly in violation of the law, in our backyard protect us?

With North Dakota cutting budgets, whose gonna monitor everything required, from building permits, to compliance of regulations. We respectfully submit that the Health Department has not done due diligence concerning the approval of this illegal permit. With the potential for illegal pollution that's almost guaranteed, does the Health Department want to sign off on the real potential future clean-up site. This is our home and our community; all parties concerned will be held responsible. It is our opinion that information to supplied by the applicant has been very misleading and untruthful. Their character assassinations of our neighbors is unwarranted and out of line. The track record of the applicant in regards to CAFOs is less than savory. The project designs are flawed, incomplete, and inadequate. Their nutrient management plan does not have enough acres due to soil types and setbacks. The applicant cannot possibly fulfill their illegal permit obligations as presented. If this proposal is so beneficial to us, and will not harm our quality of life, then why is everything done backdoor and secretive.

We have gathered significant information should we need to turn to the courts. We depend...we intend to have independent water, air, and fly population monitors in place. Have obtained information through open record laws and will have our properties assessed to hold the applicant responsible for any loss to our property values. To the applicant, this is another investment for corporate business to feed the world. To the Health Department, it's another permit. To us, it's our home and our quality of life. To us it's personal and we will proceed as such. If approved, this illegal permit and proposed project will be challenged individually and by the Concerned Citizens of Buffalo in the courts and every other venue it takes. This is our home, Sean, our community, and we will not be statistics in the way of corporate greed. Thanks for smiling at me, buddy. [laughter from audience].

Karl Rockeman: Alright. Are there anyone else that would like to add to their previous testimony?

Rocky (()): My name is Rocky (()). We spent four hours this afternoon with a very small group of people, corporate interests that would like this. And a real large group of homeowners and people that live here that don't want it. Do you understand? That's all I'm asking, I mean, we have an architect here, we have a guy with fertilizer and none of that. We ha...we have more power than you got up there in North Dakota's Health Department. Believe these people. Tell these people to go back to Minnesota and come back with a new plan. That's all I ask. Thank you.

Karl Rockeman: Thank you. Does anyone else have anything to add to their testimony? Is there anyone else that would like to testify. Anyone else that would like to testify? Any other testimony? Seeing as there none, no further testimony on the proposed permit, I will close this hearing, noting the correct time to be 5:50. The Department will review both the oral testimony given at the hearing, and the written testimony and will make a written record of its consideration of all testimony. A copy of the record will be made available upon request. Thank you for attending the hearing. Your testimony will be given serious consideration by the North Dakota Department of Health. The hearing is closed.